

Last night I had a chance to speak with Mr. Popejoy. We spoke for several hours actually. And by all accounts, Mr. Popejoy is an honest and forthright man. And self admittedly, completely against the subject matter of "Kiddie Porn" as I am. Moreover, I feel that is where and why this matter clashed as it initially did. After speaking with him at length, he really left me with the impression that he quite honestly did not receive a copy of the book that I left for him. This is something that to be completely honest, I never once accounted for. I assumed that when I left the books in the lobby as directed, the mailroom staff there would then distribute them. And apparently, that did not happen.

I approached this as if it were a matter of the greatest importance, quite simply because it is... to me. And I left no room for how others would perceive my postings. Thus in doing so, perhaps I wore this just a little too close to my heart. By that, I mean that I really did not allow for the opinions of another. I only saw the "This is bad, really bad" approach to this. And while Mr. Popejoy agreed with me wholeheartedly, he had an interesting point to assert nonetheless. I had thought I prepared for an event such as this. In truth, I find that did not. I have to say that I may have not afforded Mr. Popejoy the due respect that I should have. I have found that this particular event, this exchange of personal positions when presented with the subject matter of my book; has given me something more of a strength of purpose.

But in doing so, I have also found that I have bravely managed to embarrass myself by not factoring in all the variables that I really didn't want to acknowledge in the first place. My immediate reaction was quite honestly a hasty and ill-tempered reaction, and it did nothing but elicit an honest and completely equal reaction. Nevertheless, and to be truthful, Mr. Popejoy has some very valid concerns when referencing his name and his character in combination with the subject matter. What I did not want to acknowledge or even consider was that the books I delivered, might be thrown out as soon as I walked away.

I cannot in all good conscience confirm that Mr. Popejoy did in-fact receive the book that I had left for him on that day. Moreover, as unfortunate as that may be, what I find worst yet is that I did not have enough foresight to even envision that prospect. Why is that you might wonder? Well, I committed myself to this completely and wholeheartedly. In doing so, I left no maneuvering room for others as it were. I could not have even begun to imagine that what I had hoped to accomplish, might in-fact instead be to offend those that I had hoped would one day help.

But in truth he is correct, when you view who I initially sent a copy of my book to; "PENDING" under the category of "Feedback Received" leaves a lot open to interpretation. Although that was not my intention at all. For my counterpoint to his concern, "Pending" was to say if I received acknowledgements the book. As I did and attempted to identify from all books sent including both, The Governors of both California and Florida and that is all. If I got the book returned to me, which I did take into account and plan for, by affixing every envelope with a return address, I simply marked the category as "Returned."

When Mr. Popejoy reviewed my reply to his initial e-mail, his tone softened and he saw what my intention truly was. It was not to "showcase" who had gotten a book, and thus generate more sales for me. I never even thought of that... and that most certainly was never the case, the idea or the impression I wanted at all. Moreover, as such I never accounted that other individuals just might see it that way. My direct response was to step away from my entrenched position and honestly looked at what he was saying. It was hard enough to do, but admittedly, he does have a valid point and he is correct for his concern.

Here is just a little of what Mr. Popejoy wrote to me:

*“Mr. John,*

*Thank you for your very thoughtful response. (No sarcasm. I mean it.)*

*I, like you, am very concerned about and opposed to child pornography. It has bothered me since I learned about it during the McMartin Preschool Molestation trial in Los Angeles.*

*What troubled me was your apparent use of me and hundreds of my colleagues in what looks like an on-line marketing effort. Perhaps I misunderstood the reference, but it appeared on first reading to be a promotion of your (no doubt) very laudable goals by inferring some kind of endorsement or news consideration by me and all my colleagues. That, as you know painfully well, is not true.*

*I was also troubled by being involuntarily associated with the topic of child pornography. OK, I was angered.*

*You state on your website that my review of your manuscript, or my news coverage of your work, is "Pending". That is false. A reasonable person would conclude it is in fact a deliberate falsehood. I can't imagine why you would publish that.*

*Further, you appear to have created a webpage or other instrument that publicly attacks me for taking private offense at your inclusion of me, involuntarily, on your website. Frankly, I have no recollection of ever receiving or knowing about your manuscript.”*

That was all; and it was so simple, innocently simple... but maybe a little too simple though. Mr. Popejoy's position was to explain that this type of news event as it were, happens all the time. And because it happens so much, that it's not really considered a "Main Stream" news worthy item. Nevertheless, to that extent and given his profession, he is very correct. When you look at the news today, and when you see what is presented... yes... sad, as it is that position is very true.

So why even list "Who" I initially sent a copy of my book too. In addition, what corresponding number was associated with them?

Although I listed several answers to his question when I wrote him, I also had a chance to think on this all-night and go over my personal notes this morning when preparing this letter to post on my website...

One reason is honestly because I spent all the money I could manage on those books. I personally published all the books and then I personally attempted to place into the hands of someone who I believe could and would make a difference in presenting this matter.

Additionally accountants will want to see where the money went. Attorneys will want to see where the money went. And most certainly, the IRS would want to see where the money went. I cannot just say I did it... I had to do it as well

In my book I list several of the "Kiddie Porn" website by name only, not by photo, that were found on my daughters computer. I also show several photographs of the additional "Adult" contented and or what I considered "suggestive" pornography found on her computer as well.

Photographs mind you, that when later asked, the FBI agent would feel confident enough to say he did not really see anything he would consider pornography. Additionally then commenting that he (The FBI Agent) saw nothing but art. Art? Forgive me in my position here.... However, a woman masturbating with a modified industrial implement is not "ART" by any means what so ever! Moreover, it certainly would not be anything I would even consider ART when referenced to an eleven-year-old little girl. Let alone MY eleven-year-old daughter!

The numbers I associated with copies presented was my way of identifying who was supposed to have what copy, and when they were supposed to have received that copy. That way, should someone at a future date attempt to bring about a court action against me for what the book indentifies. I could then identify that particular book by the number it was assigned, and then show "WHO" it was initially given too. And only then ask the offended individual, how it was this book in question came into his or her possession when in-fact it was specifically presented to someone else entirely.

I wrote this book from nothing more than pure emotion. I also attempted to present my website and it's subsequent contents in very much the same way. This is obviously a subject matter that is very important to not only me, but important to others as well. And as such, because I am speaking out in the medium I have chosen too. By writing a book about this abuse and supporting a website in addition to that effort; attempting to indentify by highlighting the abuses of parents. And the further abuses committed by our Family Law Courtrooms and their appointees, I must be responsible in my approach. I thought I was being cautious, and perhaps I was not cautious enough.

I have to take a little more responsibility in my efforts. I have to present my efforts in such a fashion as not to distract, dissuade or alienate anyone from the intended results. Although my approach to this continues to be simple enough.

Admittedly, that approach is to get my book into as many hands as I could possibly afford too. Because there truly is strength in numbers, but I'm not kidding myself here. I am not an author by any stretch of the word. Nor do I identify as or even seriously consider myself as being one. While it's true I wrote a book, it's cannot be said that I ever intended to make a living at it. To be honest I am not that good. All I ever envisioned was to get as many people as I possibly could to start asking questions, the questions that were consistently denied to me. And thereby in doing so, expose this for the travesty that it truly is. Expose this so that something of this magnitude will really have less and less a chance of ever succeeding again. Not in our Family Law Court rooms, and not by our so-called Family Law Court room appointees... EVER.

Nevertheless, Mr. Popejoy did help me considerably. He has opened my eyes to yet another way of presenting and interpreting this situation. And for that I am deeply indebted to him. Thanks, again Mr. Popejoy, and I look forward to our next chance to talk again.

Until then, take care

- Larry -